RFP #_____INDIVIDUAL TECHNICAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET

Cont	ractor		D	ate	
Evalı	uator				
accor	rdance with the fol rs comprising thes	lowing evaluation	criteria, which are	be evaluated against the speci isted in descending order of er of importance. This aspect	importance with the sub-
i i 7	interpretive themes involvement, and i Tilden's book, <i>Inte</i> and Ted Beck's bo (Beck's), will be u	s, interpretive tech ts ability to impro erpreting Our Her ok Interpreting fo sed as a guide for	niques, creativeness ve and strengthen the itage and his six guider the 21 st Century are the evaluation. This	evaluated for its interpretive of in interpretive design, interpretive stated objectives. Evaluated ding principals of interpretation of their 15 expanded guiding aspect is worth a total 3100	retive writing, visitor on will be based on Freeman on, (Tilden's). Larry Cable principles of interpretation, points.
	displayed or a	escribed to some	thing within the pers	retation that does not some his onality or experience of the strength on the following basis:	
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) Awa (v) (vi) (vii)	Most met Half met Less than hal rd only one of the Exhibits inclu Exhibits inclu	(60 to 89%) (50 to 59%) f (0 to 49%) following bonuses and 1 st 10 interp. objude 1 st 17 interp. obj	- award 300 points - award 150 points - award 75 points - award 25 points f applicable ectives - award 25 points ectives - award 75 points bobj award 150 points	
	relevation bas	ed upon informati	on. But they are ent	on, as such, is not interpretain frely different. However, all a al points will be awarded on t	interpretation includes
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) Awar (v) (vi) (vii)	d only one of the Exhibits inclu Exhibits inclu	following bonuses in de 1 st 10 interp. obje de 1 st 17 interp. obje	- award 300 points - award 150 points - award 75 points - award 25 points f applicable ctives - award 25 points ctives - award 75 points bbj award 150 points	
				im of interpretation is not ins awarded on the following base	
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) Awai (v) (vi)	Most met Half met Less than half d only one of the Exhibits inclu	following bonuses is de 1 st 10 interp. obje	- award 300 points award 150 points award 75 points award 25 points _ f applicable ctives - award 25 points _ ctives - award 75 points	

	(vii)	Exhibit includes all of the interp. o	bj. – award 150 poi	nts	Score
		t Beck's principal #5: <i>Interpretation</i> . Of the exhibits presented in the			
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) Award (v) (vi)	Almost all met (90 to 100%) Most met (60 to 89%) Half met (50 to 59%) Less than half (0 to 49%) only one of the following bonuses if Exhibits include 1st 10 interp. obje Exhibits include 1st 17 interp. obje	applicable ctives - award 25 point	ts	
	(vii)	Exhibits include 1 st 17 interp. obje Exhibit includes all of the interp. o	bj. – award 150 poi	nts	Score
Sec	tion C.2.	Writing. The Offeror shall have su This text shall be evaluated on the ection C.5.b.(6) of the contract. Po	principals set forth in	Γilden's Chapt	er 8, The Written
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v) (vi) (vii)	The information is concise, simple The information is in a language the understood and relevant to all visit Language is inspiring and luring. Writing addresses what readers was Writing is not a text book approach. There are tiered levels of informat Bonus points. Text included else wand from examples from other jobs.	nat will be easily tors. ant to know. h. ion where in the proposal is similar in scope	- award 100 - award 100 - award 100 - award 100	points points points points points points points
		exhibit the desired qualities listed atthrough (vi)	above in (i)	- award 200 p	ooints
					Score
	itor invol ollowing	vement. The Offeror is to include a basis:	a variety of discovery to	echniques. Po	int shall be awarded
	(i)	One method of involvement Two methods of involvement Three methods of involvement Four methods of involvement Five methods of involvement Six methods of involvement Seven methods of involvement Greater than seven methods			Score
	(*111)	Greater than seven methods	award 500 points _		
	ction C.2	ectives The Offeror shall submit a Evaluation criteria for scoring is points			ectives (twenty-
importa	nce.	(10) objectives. Objective (a) through objective met; 500 points possible		cion C.2, are of	_
20 poin	101 000	1	. 5	- J. •	

f g h i j	I							
	(b) Meet next seven (7) objectives. Objective (k) through (g), as listed in Section C.2, are of medium							
importance 35 points for each objective met; 245 points po	ossible. Score							
k l n n	o p q							
	through (x), as listed in Section C.2, are the lowest in							
importance. 25 points for each objective met; 175 points po	ossible. Score							
r s t u v	' w x							
(d) First Bonus Points – 100 additional point 100 Bonus points possible.	ts if all of the first 10 (ten) objectives, (a) through (j), are met. Score							
are met:	points, if all of the top 17 (seventeen) objectives, (a) through (q),							
200 Bonus points possible.	Score							
(f) Third Bonus Points - 300 additional point 300 Bonus points possible.	ts if all 24 (twenty-four) objectives, (a) through (x), are met. Score Total Score							
	include a conceptual design to the 10% level based on the gn Criteria, Section C.5. This aspect is worth a total of 1500							
perspective views, graphic treatments and AV	to the floor plan showing content of exhibits, elevations, treatments if included that meet the criteria established in Tilden's and Beck's principals of interpretation.							
 (i) Good thematic grouping. (ii) Good flow patterns. (iii) Creativeness 	Award between 0 and 250 points Award between 0 and 250 points Award between 0 and 250 points							
(iv) Conflict of media and Stimulus minimized.	Award between 0 and 250 points							
(b) Exhibits meet American with Disabilities	Award 200 points (all or none)							
(c) Incorporates Information Desk and Sales								
Design. There is a clear view to the desk from Interpreter's office	Award 200 points (all or none)							

	Award only one (i) Significant evidence. Award 50 points (ii) Some evidence. Award 25 points (iii) No evidence. Award 0 points							
	(e) Appears to provide reliability and durability.							
	Award only one (i) Significant evidence. Award 50 points (ii) Some evidence. Award 25 points (iii) No evidence. Award 0 points							
(4)	Meeting the Specifics requested to be incorporated. The Offeror shall include in the proposal all the requested pecific elements, as indicated below, that are described in Section C.5.c. This aspect is worth a total of 1000 oints.							
	(a) The proposal includes those specific items listed below from section C.5.c. Each rated item will be given points in two areas: 1 st a value if incorporated and 2 nd a range of discretionary points based on the judges appreciation of the design, creativeness and adherence to Tilden's and/or Beck's principals of interpretation as listed in attachment 8.							
	(i) Corps Identification [C.5.c.(1)]							
	- Is incorporated in the proposal. Give 200 points							
	- Discretionary points. Give up to 50 points Score							
	(ii) Visitor Center Approach [C.5.c.(2)]							
	- Is incorporated in the proposal. Give 100 points							
	- Discretionary points. Give up to 75 points Score							
(iii) Information/Orientation Area [C.5.c.(3)]								
	- Is incorporated in the proposal. Give 100 points							
	- Discretionary points. Give up to 75 points Score							
	(iv) Wildlife Display [C.5.c.(9)]							
	- Is incorporated in the proposal. Give 100 points							
	- Discretionary points. Give up to 75 points Score							
	(v) Touch Screen Interactive Display [C.5.c.(4)]							
	- Is incorporated in the proposal. Give 50 points							
	- Discretionary points. Give up to 25 points Score							

(d) Appears to provide ease of operation and maintenance.

(vi)	Brochure Racks [C.5.c.(5)]		
	- Is incorporated in the pr	oposal. Give 50 points	
	- Discretionary points.	Give up to 25 points	Score
(vi	i) Exit Display [C.5.c.(6)]		
	- Is incorporated in the pr	oposal. Give 50 points	
	- Discretionary points.	Give up to 25 points	Score
		Т	otal Score
	in nature and scope. This aspect it (a) The Offeror has cited and pro	ovided color phots of successfully comp SECTION C, SPECIFICATIONS.	
	ning, skills, aptitude and knowledg	ctors, who are to work on this contract sl ge to successfully perform their assigned	
		with their resume which demonstrate their te the work defined in SECTION C, SPE e is provided Score	

RFP # ____ TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM WEIGHTED AVERAGE WORKSHEET

Contractor			Date	 		
Volume 1 EVALUATION FACTOR	INDIVI <u>No. 1</u>	DUAL E <u>No. 2</u>	VALUA <u>No. 3</u>	<u>No. 5</u>	<u>No.6</u>	AVERAGE SCORE
Conceptual Design				 		
Compliance with Requirements				 		
Soundness of Approach				 		
Relevant Experience of the Company				 		
Management Controls				 		
Key Personnel				 		
Understanding the Requirements				 		
TOTAL SCORE						

RFP # _____ TECHNICAL EVALUATION FINAL SCORES

CONTRACTOR

RFP #INDIVIDUAL TECHNICAL EVALUA	ATION COMMENTS	
CONTRACTOR	EVALUATOR	