Selective Control of Submersed Invasive Plants with a New Arylpicolinate Herbicide

Kurt Getsinger

US Army Engineer Research & Development Center Environmental Laboratory Vicksburg, MS

Christopher Mudge

US Army Engineer Research & Development Center Environmental Laboratory Baton Rouge, LA





BUILDING STRONG_®

Innovative solutions for a safer, better world

ERDC

Problem

- Limited number of registered aquatic herbicides
 - Aquatic sites 14 active ingredients
 - Ferrestrial sites ~ 200 active ingredients
- Obstacles to submersed plant control using current chemistries
 - Active ingredient specificity MOA based
 - Systemic vs contact action
 - Species resistance/tolerance issues hydrilla, hybrid milfoils
 - Water exchange processes impacts on efficacy

Critical need for new chemistries to overcome these management obstacles





ERDC

BUILDING STRONG®

Work Unit Objectives

- Determine aqueous concentration and exposure time (CET) requirements for a new arylpicolinate herbicide ---- PROCELLACOR®
- Utilize data to support species-selective control of important submersed invasive plants.





BUILDING STRONG®

PROCELLACOR®

- Arylpicolinate class auxin mimic dicot/monocot active
- Developed without an EUP critical to generate data at growth chamber/mesocosm scale for field recommendations
- Rice label (Dow Agrosciences); Aquatics label (SePRO)
- No other use sites
- Aquatic label anticipated by 2018 via SePRO Corp





Innovative solutions for a safer, better world

BUILDING STRONG®

- Product-specific CET requirements drive efficacy
- Contacts (control shoots) fast acting, short CETs (min to hrs)
- Systemics (control entire plant) slower acting, longer CETs (intermediate – hrs to days; long – days to weeks)

Need fast-acting systemic product



Unique Aquatic Herbicide

PROCELLACOR®

✓ Fast-acting – short aqueous herbicide exposure time (CET)

✓ Systemic – translocates to control shoots and roots

- ✓ Very low concentrations ppb range
- ✓ Short persistence in water and sediment
- Species selective active on target plants, limited impacts on non-target plants
- Reduced-risk classification by USEPA excellent tox profiles on non-target organisms





BUILDING STRONG_®

Description of Work

- Evaluate PROCELLACOR® against target and nontarget submersed plants
 - Focus on early growth stage short exposures
 - Need detailed CET information for field recommendations



FY 17 Accomplishments (Jun-Sep)

✓ Worked w/registrant and USEPA-OPP on Section 3 label
 ✓ Worked w/registrant + Univ Washington on salmon tox tests
 ✓ Coordinated w/local agencies to identify field-verification sites

• **Replicated CET study in ERDC growth chamber** Idaho hybrid watermilfoil: auxin, fluridone, endothall tolerant







BUILDING STRONG®

FY 17 CET Results – Procellacor® vs HWM

- Rates: 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 ppb
- Exposures: 3, 6, 12, 24 hr
- Biomass endpoint: 4 WAT







Innovative solutions for a safer, better world

ERDC

BUILDING STRONG_®

FY 17 CET Results – Procellacor® vs HWM



BUILDING STRONG®

Products, Work Plans, Budget

PRODUCTS:

2018 – Journal Article (Sep 18) Procellacor vs HWM and EWM
2019 – Journal Articles (Sep 19 Procellacor vs hydrilla; vs native plants

WORK PLANS (FY18):

Four (4) CET Chamber/Mesocosm Studies

- a) Refine FY17 CET study w/HWM: very short exposures (0.5–3 hr)
- b) Conduct CET study w/EWM: 3-12 hr exposures
- c) Conduct CET study w/native plants: 3-12 hr exposures
- d) Conduct CET study w/fluridone tolerant hydrilla: moderate-long exposures

BUDGET: FY18 \$ 160K \$ 200K



BUILDING STRONG_®

ERDC

Importance and Benefits of Work

- Effective on fluridone-resistant hydrilla; auxin-tolerant hybrid milfoils
- Selective control to protect/enhance native plant communities
- Overcome short herbicide contact time issues
 - Controlling pioneer plant infestations
 - Partial lake treatments
 - Reservoir/riverine settings
- Reduced-risk tox classification low environmental impacts
- New environmentally compatible aquatic plant management tool
- Procellacor® should have excellent fit in CE and public waters





Critical Partnerships

- Collaborate with academia and registrant on development of aquatic use patterns
- Coordinate future field studies with CE districts
- Work with Districts and others on listed species concerns -- NOAA Fisheries in Seattle
- Interact with USEPA and state regulators per label development







Acknowledgements

Thanks !!!

- Jan Freedman, Mike Netherland, and Chetta Owens -ERDC
- Bradley Sartain LSU
- Mark Heilman SePRO Corporation





BUILDING STRONG_®